

# Chapter 1

## Binary relations

File: `foundations/sections/11_binary-relations.ftl.tex`

---

[readtex foundations/sections/10\_sets.ftl.tex]

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_6429308924985344

**Definition 1.1.** A binary relation is a class  $R$  such that every element of  $R$  is a pair.

### 1.1 Properties of relations

#### Reflexivity

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_1126092393938944

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $R$  be a binary relation and  $A$  be a class.  $R$  is reflexive on  $A$  iff for all  $a \in A$  we have  $(a, a) \in R$ .

## Irreflexivity

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_365656446861312

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $R$  be a binary relation and  $A$  be a class.  $R$  is irreflexive on  $A$  iff for no  $a \in A$  we have  $(a, a) \in R$ .

## Symmetry

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_2056300137545728

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $R$  be a binary relation and  $A$  be a class.  $R$  is symmetric on  $A$  iff for all  $a, b \in A$  if  $(a, b) \in R$  then  $(b, a) \in R$ .

## Antisymmetry

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_8301693043212288

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $R$  be a binary relation and  $A$  be a class.  $R$  is antisymmetric on  $A$  iff for all distinct  $a, b \in A$  we have  $(a, b) \notin R$  or  $(b, a) \notin R$ .

## Asymmetry

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_6895428727472128

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $R$  be a binary relation and  $A$  be a class.  $R$  is asymmetric on  $A$  iff for all  $a, b \in A$  if  $(a, b) \in R$  then  $(b, a) \notin R$ .

## Transitivity

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_5377309666181120

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $R$  be a binary relation and  $A$  be a class.  $R$  is transitive on  $A$  iff for all  $a, b, c \in A$  if  $(a, b) \in R$  and  $(b, c) \in R$  then  $(a, c) \in R$ .

## Connectedness

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_5902056743239680

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $R$  be a binary relation and  $A$  be a class.  $R$  is connected on  $A$  iff for all distinct  $a, b \in A$  we have  $(a, b) \in R$  or  $(b, a) \in R$ .

## Strong connectedness

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_6492592562765824

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $R$  be a binary relation and  $A$  be a class.  $R$  is strongly connected on  $A$  iff for all  $a, b \in A$  we have  $(a, b) \in R$  or  $(b, a) \in R$ .

# 1.2 Order relations

## Preorders.

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_4005024520732672

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $A$  be a class. A preorder on  $A$  is a binary relation that is reflexive on  $A$  and transitive on  $A$ .

## Partial orders.

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_2162776243961856

**Definition 1.11.** Let  $A$  be a class. A partial order on  $A$  is a binary relation  $R$  that is reflexive on  $A$  and antisymmetric on  $A$  and transitive on  $A$ .

Let  $A$  is partially ordered by  $R$  stand for  $R$  is a partial order on  $A$ .

**Strict partial orders.**

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_4067384857985024

**Definition 1.12.** Let  $A$  be a class. A strict preorder on  $A$  is a binary relation that is irreflexive on  $A$  and transitive on  $A$ .

Let  $A$  is strictly preordered by  $R$  stand for  $R$  is a strict preorder on  $A$ .

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_5567849812721664

**Proposition 1.13.** Let  $A$  be a class. Any strict preorder on  $A$  is antisymmetric on  $A$ .

Let a strict partial order on  $A$  stand for a strict preorder on  $A$ . Let  $A$  is strictly partially ordered by  $R$  stand for  $R$  is a strict partial order on  $A$ .

**Total orders.**

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_5872706501214208

**Definition 1.14.** Let  $A$  be a class. A total order on  $A$  is a partial order on  $A$  that is connected on  $A$ .

Let  $A$  is totally ordered by  $R$  stand for  $R$  is a total order on  $A$ .

Let a linear order on  $A$  stand for a total order on  $A$ . Let  $A$  is linearly ordered by  $R$  stand for  $R$  is a linear order on  $A$ .

**Strict total orders.**

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_5840248768561152

**Definition 1.15.** Let  $A$  be a class. A strict total order on  $A$  is a strict partial order on  $A$  that is connected on  $A$ .

Let  $A$  is strictly totally ordered by  $R$  stand for  $R$  is a strict total order on  $A$ .

Let a strict linear order on  $A$  stand for a strict total order on  $A$ . Let  $A$  is strictly linearly ordered by  $R$  stand for  $R$  is a strict linear order on  $A$ .

## 1.3 Well-founded relations

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_2729326472593408

**Definition 1.16.** Let  $A$  be a class and  $R$  be a binary relation. A least element of  $A$  regarding  $R$  is an element  $a$  of  $A$  such that there exists no  $x \in A$  such that  $(x, a) \in R$ .

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_2420057567133696

**Definition 1.17.** Let  $A$  be a class and  $R$  be a binary relation.  $R$  is wellfounded on  $A$  iff every nonempty subclass of  $A$  has a least element regarding  $R$ .

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_3262141912055808

**Definition 1.18.** Let  $A$  be a class and  $R$  be a binary relation.  $R$  is strongly wellfounded on  $A$  iff  $R$  is wellfounded on  $A$  and for all  $b \in A$  there exists a set  $X$  such that

$$X = \{a \in A \mid (a, b) \in R\}.$$

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_6149137814781952

**Definition 1.19.** Let  $A$  be a class. A wellorder on  $A$  is a strict linear order on  $A$  that is wellfounded on  $A$ .

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_8163723743068160

**Definition 1.20.** Let  $A$  be a class. A strong wellorder on  $A$  is a strict linear order on  $A$  that is strongly wellfounded on  $A$ .

## 1.4 Epsilon induction

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_4800525813940224

**Definition 1.21.**

$$\in = \{(a, x) \mid x \text{ is a set that contains } a\}.$$

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_5668859243659264

**Proposition 1.22.**  $\in$  is strongly wellfounded on any system of sets.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a system of sets.

(1)  $\in$  is wellfounded on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a nonempty subclass of  $X$ . Take an element  $x$  of  $A$  such that  $A$  and  $x$  are disjoint. Then  $x$  is a least element of  $A$  regarding  $\in$ . Indeed for any  $a \in A$  if  $a \in x$  then  $a \in A \cap x$ . Qed.

(2) For all  $x \in X$  there exists a set  $Y$  such that  $Y = \{y \in X \mid (y, x) \in \in\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$ . Define  $Y = \{y \in X \mid (y, x) \in \in\}$ . Then  $Y = \{y \in X \mid y \in x\}$ . Hence  $Y$  is a subclass of  $x$ . Thus  $Y$  is a set. Qed.  $\square$

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_6337807438053376

**Corollary 1.23.** Every nonempty system of sets has a least element regarding  $\in$ .

FOUNDATIONS\_11\_2812087589928960

**Proposition 1.24.** Let  $\Phi$  be a class. (Induction hypothesis) Assume that for all sets  $x$  if  $\Phi$  contains every element of  $x$  that is a set then  $\Phi$  contains  $x$ . Then  $\Phi$  contains every set.

*Proof.* Assume the contrary. Define  $M = \{x \mid x \text{ is a set such that } x \notin \Phi\}$ . Then  $M$  is nonempty. Hence we can take a least element  $x$  of  $M$  regarding  $\in$ . Then  $x$  is a set such that every element of  $x$  that is a set is contained in  $\Phi$ . Thus  $\Phi$  contains  $x$  (by induction hypothesis). Contradiction.  $\square$